Safe As Houses:

An inclusive approach for housing drug users

Steve McKeown Senior development officer Shelter street homeless project

Shelter

Background

- Drug use and housing need linked Audit Commission – 1 in 3 drug users in housing need Rough sleepers – 50-80% use drugs
- Many problematic drug users excluded or evicted from housing provision
- Illegal to house continuing users?
- Many 'too difficult to assist'?

Questions

- Should drug users remain homeless until they are motivated to address their drug use?
- 2. Can problematic drug users be safely and effectively housed and supported?

If YES; NO: what happens – personal and public harms?

Shelter

Good Practice - (NO;YES)

- Sinclair Project Leeds
- Wallich Clifford Community Cardiff
- New Steine Mews Brighton
- Single Homeless Project London
- In Partnership Project Blackburn
- St Mungo's London
- Julian Housing Norfolk

Varied provision: direct access hostels; first and second stage hostels; shared housing and floating support in independent tenancies

Benefits

- Reduced homelessness for drug users BUT ALSO:
- Increased openness in managing risks
- Safer practice and improvements in health: wound care, overdose prevention/management, ¬DRDs
- Positive engagement, retention and treatment outcomes

Shelter

Wallich Clifford Community, Cardiff

- DRDs and evictions for drug use → devpt. of harm reduction policy and practice in 2001
- Work with continuing drug use, manage use on site, distribute paraphernalia
- All staff trained
- 1 DRD since practice adopted in 11 projects

In Partnership Project, Blackburn

- 44 bed hostel for homeless women
- High levels of drug use and high risk behaviours – environment difficult to manage
- Closed and redeveloped (May-Oct 2003)
 22 independent flats less communal areas training for all staff and residents (O/D etc) intensive support: individual and group work clean works, condoms, screening on site
- No DRDs since re-opening

Shelter

Single Homeless Project (SHP), London

- 2 projects specifically for current drug users
- Harm reduction drugs policy developed 2000
- High staffing levels 24 hours a day
- All staff trained to work with drug users Nearly all first aid trained Specialist drug and alcohol worker posts
- Needle exchange, visiting drug worker and primary health care sessions on site
- No DRDs May 05-July 06: 19 non-fatal overdoses

St. Mungo's, London

- 72 projects across 11 London boroughs
- Drugs policy for entire organisation in 2000
- In-house substance misuse team: Specialist input to service users, support generic staff In-house needle exchange On-site prescribing facilities Internal and external training (DANOS)
- 2005: 1,000 contacts n/x; highest satellite completion rate for Hep B vacc., retention rates for prescribing service > statutory service

Shelter

Effectiveness in Reducing DRDs

 High quality housing and support can reduce DRDs

But hard to evidence:

 No great consistency in recording of fatal/non-fatal O/D, suicide etc. for providers or for commissioners e.g. SP

Strategy implications

- Housing and homelessness strategy
- Drug treatment and health
- Supporting People
- Criminal justice

Joint planning and integration across all Quality standards to underpin good practice

Shelter

More information, resources, forum:

www.drugsandhousing.co.uk